

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ADAM P. GUNKEL, )  
 ) No. CV 07-370-HU  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
v. )  
 ) FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION  
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, )  
Commissioner, Social )  
Security Administration, )  
 )  
Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Jodie Anne Phillips Polich  
4120 S.E. International Way, Suite A209  
Milwaukie, Oregon 97222  
Attorney for plaintiff

Karin J. Immergut  
United States Attorney  
District of Oregon  
Britannia I. Hobbs  
Assistant United States Attorney  
1000 S.W. Third Avenue, Suite 600  
Portland, Oregon 97204  
David M. Blume  
Special Assistant United States Attorney  
Office of the General Counsel  
Social Security Administration  
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 M/S 901  
Seattle, Washington 98104  
Attorneys for defendant

///

1 HUBEL, Magistrate Judge:

2 Adam Gunkel brought this action pursuant to Section 205(g) of  
3 the Social Security Act (the Act), 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), to obtain  
4 judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of the  
5 Social Security Administration (Commissioner) denying his  
6 application for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits under  
7 Title XVI of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1381-83f.

8 **Procedural Background**

9 Mr. Gunkel filed for benefits on September 13, 2004. He  
10 alleges disability since October 24, 1999, on the basis of bipolar  
11 and manic depressive disorders, a herniated disc, insomnia,  
12 headaches, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), anxiety, anger  
13 management, and a speech impediment.

14 The Commissioner denied his application initially and on  
15 reconsideration. On July 11, 2006, a hearing was held before  
16 Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Gary Elliott. The ALJ heard  
17 testimony from the claimant, his parents, and Frances Summers, a  
18 vocational expert (VE). On September 14, 2006, the ALJ issued a  
19 decision finding Mr. Gunkel not disabled. On January 12, 2007, the  
20 Appeals Council denied review, making the ALJ's decision the final  
21 decision of the Commissioner.

22 Mr. Gunkel was 21 years old at the time of the ALJ's decision.  
23 He attended school through the 11<sup>th</sup> grade and worked part-time as  
24 a newspaper delivery driver from April 2004 to June 2005.

25 ///

26 ///

27  
28 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION Page 2

**Medical Evidence**

Mr. Gunkel's medical records begin in May 2000, when he was treated by Thomas Richards, M.D. for complaints of a swollen foot. Tr. 116. On examination, his feet appeared normal, without swelling. Dr. Richards wrote, "? Mortons neuroma vs. soft tissue injury," and prescribed Motrin and a change of footwear. Id.

On March 5, 2002, Mr. Gunkel came in with complaints of "achiness in bones" for the past two years, mostly in the upper body. Id. At that time, his height was 5'8" and his weight was 219 pounds. Id. Dr. Richards wrote, "Mom wants patient checked for leukemia--wants spinal tap." Id. On physical examination, Dr. Richards found normal range of motion of the neck, shoulders, and elbows; normal strength in the upper and lower extremities; intact cranial nerves 2-12; and lungs clear. Id. There was some tenderness to palpation in the mid-humerus and along the right lower rib area, but no other significant tenderness noted. Id. Dr. Richards diagnosed myalgia. Id.

On March 20, 2002, Mr. Gunkel went to the emergency room with complaints of back pain. Tr. 148. He was diagnosed with thoracic/lumbar strain and prescribed Flexeril and physical therapy. Id. X-rays of Mr. Gunkel's thoracic and lumbar spine on March 20, 2002 showed minimal scoliosis of the thoracic spine, possibly from positioning, and minimal degenerative disc disease in the lumbar spine. Tr. 160.

On April 1, 2002, Mr. Gunkel was admitted to Lake District Hospital with suicidal thoughts since the previous day. Tr. 150.

1 Mr. Gunkel said he had been under "a lot of pressure lately," with  
2 concerns that welfare might take away his health care coverage if  
3 he did not go to school. Id. He complained of a "constant  
4 underlying headache which is made worse when he goes to school."  
5 Id. He was currently taking Celexa, Neurontin, Zyprexa, and Atarax.  
6 Id. Timothy Gallagher, M.D., Mr. Gunkel's treating physician, wrote  
7 that Mr. Gunkel's mood was depressed and his affect flat. Id. Mr.  
8 Gunkel reported feelings of worthlessness and hopelessness, and  
9 described crying spells, decreased energy level, and weight gain.  
10 Id. Dr. Gallagher diagnosed major depression with suicidal  
11 ideation, anger control issues, and fatty infiltration of the  
12 liver, and admitted him to the hospital. Tr. 151.

13 In a hospital chart note dated April 2, 2002, Dr. Gallagher  
14 wrote that Mr. Gunkel was "feeling much better," and was no longer  
15 suicidal. Tr. 130. Mr. Gunkel had talked to a mental health  
16 practitioner that day and was planning to follow up with counselor  
17 Russ Hunt in two days. He was discharged from the hospital. Id.

18 On April 15, 2002, Mr. Gunkel saw Dr. Gallagher for headaches,  
19 which he reported as constant for the last two years. Tr. 129. Mr.  
20 Gunkel denied nausea, vomiting or diarrhea, but said he had some  
21 photophobia. He said he had seen a neurologist in the past, with  
22 normal MRI and CT scans. Id. He said he had tried Maxalt, Imitrex,  
23 Neurontin and ibuprofen without obtaining relief. Id.

24 Mr. Gunkel denied head trauma, and said his glasses seemed to  
25 make the headaches worse. Id. Mr. Gunkel was still taking Celexa,  
26 Neurontin, Zyprexa and Atarax. Id. Dr. Gallagher noted that Mr.

1 Gunkel's mood was depressed, but that he denied suicidal ideation.  
2 Id. Dr. Gallagher diagnosed major depression with history of  
3 suicidal ideation, anger control issues, and chronic headaches. He  
4 was continued on all current medications and advised to see about  
5 getting his glasses changed. Id. On May 31, 2002, Mr. Gunkel was  
6 followed up for depression. Tr. 127. Mr. Gunkel reported that his  
7 stress was better and his headaches were improving. Id.

8 On September 6, 2002, Mr. Gunkel was seen for complaints of  
9 blood in his stool. Tr. 126. Mr. Gunkel denied any significant pain  
10 and said he was having one bowel movement a day, without  
11 significant straining. Id. Anoscopy showed moderate internal  
12 hemorrhoids. Id. Dr. Gallagher prescribed ibuprofen and Citrucel,  
13 and advised Mr. Gunkel to walk half a mile each day. Id.

14 On November 13, 2002, Mr. Gunkel saw Dr. Gallagher with  
15 complaints of worsening abdominal pain after eating. Tr. 123. Dr.  
16 Gallagher noted that Mr. Gunkel was not following his exercise  
17 recommendation, and that Mr. Gunkel had been "gaining weight  
18 steadily over the last two years and is actually up [sixty  
19 pounds.]" Tr. 123. Dr. Gallagher told him to cut back on juices and  
20 sodas. Id. Dr. Gallagher recorded that in the past, Mr. Gunkel had  
21 "quite an extensive workup of stomach pain," positive for diffuse  
22 gastritis and fatty infiltration of the liver, but otherwise normal  
23 abdomen, pelvis and gallbladder. Id.

24 On November 20, 2002, Mr. Gunkel had a colonoscopy, which was  
25 normal except for mild to moderate internal hemorrhoids. Tr. 122,  
26 144. At follow up on December 4, 2002, Dr. Gallagher noted that

1 five biopsies showed no pathological abnormalities in the sigmoid  
2 colon, and rectal biopsy showed only mild edema and congestion,  
3 negative for inflammatory bowel disease. Tr. 122. Dr. Gallagher  
4 noted, however, that ultrasound and biopsies showed fatty  
5 infiltration of the liver. Id. Dr. Gallagher expressed concern  
6 about Zyprexa causing weight gain, and told Mr. Gunkel to walk a  
7 mile a day and take Levbid, Levsin and sugar free Citrucel. Id.

8 On December 4, 2002, Mr. Gunkel reported abdominal pain "at  
9 times," and approximately two episodes of diarrhea a day. Tr. 122.  
10 He was advised to cut back significantly on his soda intake, to  
11 only one soda a day. Id. Dr. Gallagher wrote that he had  
12 "[d]iscussed with patient at length that he needs to get his weight  
13 down." Id. Mr. Gunkel's weight at that time was 240. Id.

14 On January 15, 2003, Mr. Gunkel was seen for a follow up on  
15 bipolar disorder and IBS. Tr. 121. Mr. Gunkel reported that he was  
16 "doing fairly well" on the Levbid and Levsin, but "not wonderful."  
17 Id. Mr. Gunkel was taking Citrucel occasionally. Id. Mr. Gunkel's  
18 weight was 247. Dr. Gallagher discussed diet with Mr. Gunkel,  
19 urging him to cut back his food intake and soda consumption, and  
20 walk a mile a day. Id. Dr. Gallagher noted that the bipolar  
21 disorder was well controlled on current medications of Zyprexa and  
22 Remeron, but that the weight gain was probably related to these  
23 medications. Id.

24 On January 29, 2003, Mr. Gunkel was seen for a sudden onset of  
25 shortness of breath, cough, and wheezing at school. Tr. 121. He had  
26 been seen the previous week for an upper respiratory infection. Id.

1 Upon examination, his lungs were clear and he had no audible  
2 wheezing. Heart rate was regular. Id. He was diagnosed with  
3 exercise-induced asthma and given an inhaler. Id.

4 On February 26, 2003, Dr. Gallagher saw Mr. Gunkel for a cold;  
5 he noted that Mr. Gunkel was exercising approximately four times a  
6 week, "[n]ot as much as I ask him to do," and was not taking the  
7 Citrucel. Tr. 120. Mr. Gunkel reported the IBS was "doing fairly  
8 well." Examination was essentially normal. Dr. Gallagher's  
9 diagnoses were bipolar disorder, well controlled on Zyprexa and  
10 Remeron, "although I think these medications are making him gain  
11 quite a bit of weight," obesity which had "gotten worse since being  
12 placed on Zyprexa and Remeron," IBS, an improving upper respiratory  
13 infection, and anger control issues. Id. Dr. Gallagher told Mr.  
14 Gunkel to return in a month for a recheck of his obesity, and noted  
15 that if Mr. Gunkel continued to gain weight, it might be necessary  
16 to take him off Zyprexa and Remeron and switch to Depakote and  
17 Lexapro. Id. Mr. Gunkel's weight was recorded as 245. Id.

18 On March 11, 2003, Mr. Gunkel was seen by Spencer Clarke, M.D.  
19 for complaints of dizziness after falling while playing soccer at  
20 school. Mr. Gunkel complained of severe dizziness, headache on the  
21 top of the head, sharp pains, intermittent blurred vision. Tr. 119.  
22 Physical examination was normal. Dr. Clarke wrote, "I seriously  
23 doubt significant injury from a ground level fall as described."  
24 Id. Dr. Clarke prescribed ibuprofen for three to four days. Id.

25 On March 26, 2003, Mr. Gunkel told Dr. Gallagher the IBS  
26 "still acts up on him," and that he still had pain. Tr. 196. Dr.

1 Gallagher recommended Tylenol. Id. Dr. Gallagher wrote that Mr.  
2 Gunkel stated he stopped the Remeron and Zyprexa on about March 15,  
3 2003, and had no problems with depression since discontinuing the  
4 medications. Id. Dr. Gallagher wrote, "Not exercising regularly as  
5 I asked him to." Id. However, his weight had dropped to 238. Id.

6 Mr. Gunkel's medical records resume one year later. On March  
7 26, 2004, Dr. Gallagher wrote that Mr. Gunkel's bipolar disorder  
8 was "doing well" on Zyprexa and Remeron, and that his IBS was also  
9 "doing fairly well." Tr. 118. Dr. Gallagher noted that Mr. Gunkel  
10 had lost weight, and that he was not having problems with anger  
11 control. Id.

12 On August 30, 2004, Mr. Gunkel presented at the emergency room  
13 of Lake District Hospital with complaints of left sided arm  
14 weakness and some slurred speech. Tr. 139. Mr. Gunkel reported the  
15 symptoms for five days. Id. It was noted that Mr. Gunkel was not  
16 currently taking any medications because he was off the Oregon  
17 Health Plan and could not afford the medications. Id. Dr.  
18 Gallagher's initial assessment was herniated disc with suspected  
19 radiculopathy in the left arm and chronic headaches. Tr. 140. A  
20 cervical spine series taken August 30, 2004 showed no unstable  
21 process or focal degenerative arthropathy to account for the arm  
22 weakness. Tr. 131, 133. A CT of the brain taken at the same time  
23 was normal. Tr. 132. Dr. Gallagher prescribed Feldene and explained  
24 that herniated discs often improved on their own. Tr. 140. Dr.  
25 Gallagher noted that Mr. Gunkel told him he felt he was doing as  
26 well if not better off the psychotropic medications. Id.



1 \_\_\_\_\_On November 3, 2004, Mr. Gunkel was given a psychological  
2 evaluation by Stephen Tibbitts, Ph.D. Tr. 165. Mr. Gunkel reported  
3 that while a teenager, he had participated in psychotherapy and  
4 taken psychiatric medications, having been placed on Zyprexa,  
5 Effexor and Remeron in the 9<sup>th</sup> grade, but that he was taken off all  
6 medications in the 11<sup>th</sup> grade. Id. Mr. Gunkel said he had gained  
7 over 100 pounds in a short period of time while on the medications.  
8 Id. During the time he was on the medications, he had also  
9 participated in therapy at the Mental Health Clinic, but chose to  
10 discontinue it after a year. Tr. 166. Mr. Gunkel stated that since  
11 discontinuing therapy, he had learned on his own to stop worrying  
12 and currently felt better in regard to anxiety than when he was in  
13 high school. Tr. 166. At the time of the evaluation he was not  
14 taking psychotropic medication. Id.

15 Mr. Gunkel was currently living with his father and delivering  
16 newspapers part-time. Id. He complained that the job was "extremely  
17 tiring" for him, and said he was unable to do his route by himself,  
18 often relying on his mother and others to assist him with driving  
19 and remembering. Id. Mr. Gunkel said that while at home he  
20 "primarily stay[ed] out of his father's way," but did prepare his  
21 own food. Id.

22 Dr. Tibbitts observed that Gunkel's motor behavior appeared to  
23 be smooth and coordinated and his gait was normal. Tr. 166. He  
24 maintained a natural facial expression throughout the examination  
25 and maintained normal eye contact. Id. His speech was normal for  
26 intensity, pitch and speed. Id. He demonstrated spontaneity and  
27

1 productivity. Id. His reaction time was appropriate. Id. His  
2 answers to questions were goal directed, relevant and logical. Id.  
3 There were no indications of disturbed thought processes. Id. He  
4 denied experiencing hallucinations, but reported that he frequently  
5 had "daydreams while I operate in reality." Id. One such daydream  
6 was extremely upsetting for him, involving a fantasy that he was in  
7 a court setting and "everything went south." Id. He reported that  
8 his behavior in the court setting "continued to deteriorate to  
9 where he fantasized suicidal thoughts followed by homicidal  
10 thoughts followed by genocidal thoughts." Tr. 167. The daydream  
11 concluded when "I tore everything apart." Id.

12 Mr. Gunkel reported that his mood was generally easygoing, tr.  
13 167, but that his usual mood was a 4 on a scale of 1 being bad and  
14 10 being good. Id. He experienced some suicidal ideation, not  
15 necessarily when in a depressed phase. Id. He denied suicidal  
16 intent, but endorsed feelings of worthlessness and hopelessness.  
17 Id. His weight was stable, but he had difficulty falling asleep and  
18 woke three or more times during the night. Id. He generally went to  
19 sleep at about 4 a.m. and got up at about 1 p.m., but did not feel  
20 rested when he got up. Id.

21 Mr. Gunkel reported feeling extremely depressed five or six  
22 times a month, with periods of elation or hypomanic/manic moods  
23 occurring two to three times a month. Id. Mr. Gunkel claimed memory  
24 deficits, but his recall of three objects was correct initially and  
25 after a 10 minute interval. Id. His immediate auditory attention  
26 appeared to be mildly impaired, but overall his recent and remote  
27

1 memory appeared to be grossly intact. Id. His concentration for  
2 calculations was excellent. Id. He spelled "world" correctly  
3 forwards and backwards. Id. His general fund of knowledge appeared  
4 to be somewhat impoverished, but "probably appropriate to his  
5 educational level." Tr. 168.

6 Dr. Tibbitts' diagnoses were bipolar disorder, not otherwise  
7 specified; and anxiety disorder not otherwise specified with  
8 features of social anxiety and generalized anxiety. Tr. 168.

9 On January 5, 2005, psychologist Robert Henry, Ph.D., reviewed  
10 Mr. Gunkel's records on behalf of the Commissioner. Tr. 170. Dr.  
11 Henry opined that Mr. Gunkel had bipolar syndrome with a history of  
12 episodic periods manifested by the full symptomatic picture of both  
13 manic and depressive syndromes, tr. 173, and an anxiety disorder,  
14 tr. 175. Dr. Henry thought Mr. Gunkel had mild restrictions on  
15 activities of daily living and maintaining concentration,  
16 persistence or pace, and moderate difficulties in maintaining  
17 social functioning. Tr. 180. Dr. Henry noted that Mr. Gunkel had  
18 stopped taking medication in March 2003 and reported feeling better  
19 and having more energy since then. Tr. 182.

20 Dr. Henry completed a Mental Residual Functional Capacity  
21 Assessment. Tr. 189. His findings were that Mr. Gunkel had moderate  
22 limitations on 1) the ability to interact appropriately with the  
23 general public, 2) the ability to respond appropriately to changes  
24 in the work setting, and 3) the ability to set realistic goals or  
25 make plans independently of others. Tr. 190. In Dr. Henry's  
26 opinion, Mr. Gunkel would work best with limited public contact due  
27

1 to anxiety, and "could use some help setting vocational goals." Tr.  
2 191. Dr. Henry thought Mr. Gunkel needed a routine environment, but  
3 could handle minor changes. Id.

4 On April 11, 2005, a chart note from Dr. Gallagher's office  
5 stated that according to a telephone call from Mr. Gunkel's mother,  
6 Mr. Gunkel had been off his medications due to lack of insurance  
7 and had suicidal thoughts "off and on today." Tr. 196. Mrs. Gunkel  
8 said he also had left sided chest pain and left arm numbness, but  
9 "didn't think it was worth going to the ER." Id.

10 On September 12, 2005, Mr. Gunkel saw Dr. Gallagher with a  
11 complaint that his left elbow had been popping for years. Tr. 195.  
12 He also complained of poor hearing. Id. Neurological examination  
13 was normal, with equal strength and reflexes of the upper  
14 extremities bilaterally. Reflexes were "completely normal," and  
15 there was no evidence of focal abnormalities. Id. Dr. Gallagher  
16 thought Mr. Gunkel had left arm radiculitis, but explained that  
17 workup would involve an MRI or seeing a neurologist for nerve  
18 conduction studies. Id. Dr. Gallagher wrote that Mr. Gunkel was  
19 currently uninsured, and that he stated "he is going to have to  
20 [live] with it at this point." Id. Dr. Gallagher recommended  
21 referral to an audiologist as well, but "[o]nce again he realizes  
22 the price of this and figures he needs to wait on this also." Id.

23 On June 30, 2006, Mr. Gunkel's lawyer wrote a letter to Dr.  
24 Gallagher summarizing a telephone conversation and asking Dr.  
25 Gallagher to initial the letter if it "accurately summarizes your  
26 opinion." Tr. 198-99. Dr. Gallagher initialed the letter.

1       The letter recited that the lawyer had asked Dr. Gallagher to  
2 complete forms and questionnaires, and that they indicated that Mr.  
3 Gunkel "had few limitations physically to perform work, other than  
4 the limitations of his irritable bowel syndrome requiring ready  
5 [and] unrestricted access to a restroom." Tr. 198. The letter  
6 continued that with respect to Mr. Gunkel's complaints of  
7 headaches, neck pain, light sensitivity, asthma triggered by  
8 exertion, difficulty hearing, left arm weakness and difficulty  
9 sleeping, "you explained that in your experience, other people work  
10 with these kinds of problems and that although Adam has  
11 difficulties with each of these conditions, you do not believe  
12 these should prevent him from working, but they have prevented Adam  
13 from working." Id.

14       According to the letter, Dr. Gallagher believed, on the basis  
15 of descriptions by Mr. Gunkel's parents of violent "explosions" of  
16 which Dr. Gallagher had not seen any evidence, that "any work Adam  
17 is capable of would have to have extremely limited contact with  
18 other people and be able to work independently at his own pace."  
19 Id.

#### 20                               Hearing Testimony

21       Mr. Gunkel testified at the hearing that he had quit his paper  
22 route in June 2005, tr. 208, because he had headaches "to the point  
23 where I was unable to drive, unable to focus..." Tr. 209. Mr.  
24 Gunkel said he was unable to work because of problems with stress,  
25 which caused him to lose control of his bowels and required  
26 spending four to five hours a day in the bathroom. Tr. 209. He said

1 he also had problems holding his temper, with "outbursts of anger  
2 that I don't always remember," and that he had had "people actually  
3 afraid of me as a result of these outbursts." Id. Mr. Gunkel said  
4 when he was around people he got nervous, and upset, "looking at  
5 people in a different light than I should... how I look at a wild  
6 animal that's ready to pounce, trying to figure out how to defend  
7 myself." Id. He said holding his anger took strenuous effort,  
8 leaving him "so physically drained that I'll fall asleep for two or  
9 three hours." Tr. 210. Mr. Gunkel said he got "horrendous headaches  
10 from stress" that lasted for days at a time. Id. Mr. Gunkel said he  
11 was not currently taking any medications or getting counseling. Tr.  
12 210. He said he had discontinued the medications because they  
13 caused him to gain 125 pounds in less than three months. Tr. 211.  
14 Since stopping the medications, he had lost roughly 75 pounds. Tr.  
15 211.

16 Mr. Gunkel said he handled his anger by "sink[ing] into a  
17 world of my own ... creation," in which he re-invented himself and  
18 "lash[ed] out at the people in my own private world, knowing that  
19 nobody will get hurt." Tr. 211. Mr. Gunkel said he spent  
20 approximately 13 or 14 hours a day in his private world. Tr. 212.  
21 He testified that he spends that time lying in the dark to help his  
22 headaches. Tr. 213. Mr. Gunkel said he spends two to three hours a  
23 day in the bathroom because of his irritable bowel condition. Id.  
24 However, he said he takes no medications except Excedrin Tension  
25 Headache from time to time. Tr. 214.

26 ///

1 Mr. Gunkel said he has trouble hearing, reading lips to fill  
2 in the words he doesn't hear. Id. However, Mr. Gunkel said his  
3 hearing had been tested and that he was able to hear the beeps in  
4 the hearing test, because he could "hear sharp sounds all right,"  
5 but not "more elongated sounds." Tr. 214.

6 Mr. Gunkel currently lived with his father and visited his  
7 mother nearly every day Tr. 215. On a typical day, he gets up at  
8 about 11:00 a.m., gets dressed, walks down to his mother's house,  
9 and eats. He spends a few hours at his mother's watching TV. Id.  
10 Mr. Gunkel said he does some simple things for his mother on  
11 occasion, such as hanging up laundry, taking out the garbage and  
12 unloading the dishwasher. Id. After an hour or two he walks back  
13 home. Id. He goes to his room to lie down and remains there,  
14 "sinking in my own world," until his dog needed to be let out. Id.  
15 Mr. Gunkel prepared simple meals, eating at about midnight, and  
16 went to sleep at about 3:00 a.m. Tr. 216.

17 Sheron Gunkel, Mr. Gunkel's mother, testified at the hearing.  
18 Mrs. Gunkel testified that when her son is at her house he "usually  
19 just comes in and sits down and watches TV." Tr. 217. She said he  
20 complained that he could not hear what she was saying unless she  
21 looked at him. Id. Mrs. Gunkel said Mr. Gunkel had a problem with  
22 anger, but that she thought it was because of the IBS. Id. She  
23 stated that Mr. Gunkel was in the bathroom at her house for two to  
24 three hours at a time nearly every day. Tr. 218. Mrs. Gunkel said  
25 Mr. Gunkel also showed anger if she happened to ask him to take the  
26 garbage out; if he didn't want to do it, "[h]e kind of yells." Tr.

1 218. Mrs. Gunkel testified that she is afraid of Mr. Gunkel because  
2 if he ever hit her, she was "going to go down." Tr. 219. She  
3 described Mr. Gunkel as having anger outbursts almost every day,  
4 and said that on a few occasions, he did not remember them. Tr.  
5 220. She said she can tell when Mr. Gunkel is in his "other world,"  
6 because sometimes when he's watching TV, "his lips will move and  
7 his hands will constantly move in different directions." Tr. 220.

8 Mrs. Gunkel testified that during the time he had his paper  
9 route, Mr. Gunkel was unable to do it three or four days a week  
10 because "his head would hurt so bad that he couldn't focus." Tr.  
11 221.

12 Mr. Gunkel's father, Philip Gunkel, also testified, saying his  
13 son spent most of his time in his room, except for two or three  
14 hours a day in the bathroom. Tr. 223. Philip Gunkel said if he told  
15 his son to do something he didn't want to do, "he'll often times  
16 snap back at me or yell at me," tr. 223, so that at times he had  
17 "given up on telling him to do things ... because I just don't want  
18 to deal with it." Tr. 224. Philip Gunkel further testified that he  
19 had tried to get his son to drive a taxi for a while, but "he  
20 couldn't handle" even the better fares. Tr. 225.

21 VE Frances Summers testified. Tr. 225. The ALJ asked her to  
22 consider a person of Mr. Gunkel's age with no past relevant work,  
23 limited to a job with no public contact and only occasional  
24 coworker contact, and needing ready access to a bathroom. Tr. 227.  
25 The VE testified that such an individual could work as a janitor,  
26 an auto detailer, or a plumbing hardware assembler. Tr. 227. The



1 ALJ then asked the VE to consider that same individual, with the  
2 additional limitation of needing to be given simple routine tasks  
3 and instructions. Tr. 228. The VE said the additional limitation  
4 would not affect the three jobs to which she had testified. Id. The  
5 ALJ then asked whether the jobs would be ruled out if the  
6 individual were not able to do even simple routine tasks or be  
7 given simple instructions. Id. The VE testified that such a  
8 limitation would rule out competitive employment. Id.

9 Mr. Gunkel's attorney asked the VE to consider an individual  
10 with the additional limitations of needing to work in a darkened  
11 space, and the VE responded that such a limitation would reduce or  
12 eliminate the jobs she described. Id. The VE opined that a need to  
13 be in the bathroom for hours at a time would eliminate competitive  
14 employment as well. Tr. 229.

#### 15 **ALJ's Decision**

16 \_\_\_\_\_The ALJ found that Mr. Gunkel had bipolar disorder, anxiety  
17 disorder, and IBS, all severe impairments, but that Mr. Gunkel did  
18 not have an impairment or combination of impairments that met or  
19 medically equaled one of the listed impairments in 20 C.F.R. Part  
20 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1 (20 C.F.R. § 416.920(d), 416.925 and  
21 416.926. The ALJ found, consistent with reviewing psychologist  
22 Henry's assessment, that Mr. Gunkel's bipolar disorder and anxiety  
23 disorder caused him only mild restrictions in activities of daily  
24 living, moderate difficulties in social function, and moderate  
25 difficulties with maintaining concentration, persistence, and pace.

26 ///

1 The ALJ concluded that Mr. Gunkel had the residual functional  
2 capacity to perform simple, routine tasks and follow simple,  
3 routine instructions and that he was precluded from public contact.  
4 Tr. 15. He could have occasional coworker contact. Id. The ALJ  
5 found that Mr. Gunkel "needs to have ready access to a bathroom,"  
6 but that he had no exertional limitations. Id.

7 The ALJ found Mr. Gunkel's statements about the intensity,  
8 persistence and limiting effects of his symptoms were not "entirely  
9 credible" because they were not supported by the "objective medical  
10 evidence." Tr. 15. He noted that Mr. Gunkel's alleged onset date of  
11 October 24, 1999 was not supported by the evidence because Mr.  
12 Gunkel's earliest medical records were from May 2000 and reflected  
13 "mild issues." Id.

14 The ALJ cited to Dr. Richards's findings that Mr. Gunkel had  
15 normal range of motion of his neck, shoulders and elbows, and  
16 normal strength in the upper and lower extremities. Id. The ALJ  
17 took note of Dr. Richards' review of Mr. Gunkel's medical records,  
18 showing fatty liver, but no hepatitis, and a normal brain MRI. Id.

19 After reviewing the medical evidence in detail, the ALJ  
20 concluded that the objective medical evidence did not support Mr.  
21 Gunkel's contention that he was unable to work, because he was "on  
22 no medication whatsoever," and did "not complain of irritable bowel  
23 problems at his most recent recorded visit with Dr. Gallagher [in  
24 September 2005]." Tr. 22. The ALJ found that Mr. Gunkel did have  
25 mental issues for which he needed treatment. Id.

26 The ALJ noted that at the hearing, Mr. Gunkel did not appear  
27

1 to have any auditory problems. Tr. 22. The ALJ concluded that  
2 "[o]verall, the objective evidence is quite mild and does not  
3 support claimant's extensive claims of problems." Tr. 24.

#### 4 **Standards**

5 The initial burden of proving disability rests on the  
6 claimant. Johnson v. Shalala, 60 F.3d 1428, 1432 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995).  
7 To meet this burden, the claimant must demonstrate an "inability to  
8 engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any  
9 medically determinable physical or mental impairment which ... has  
10 lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not  
11 less than 12 months[.]" 42 U.S.C. § 423(d)(1)(A).

12 A physical or mental impairment is "an impairment that results  
13 from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities  
14 which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and  
15 laboratory diagnostic techniques." 42 U.S.C. § 423(d)(3). This  
16 means an impairment must be medically determinable before it is  
17 considered disabling.

18 The Commissioner has established a five-step sequential  
19 process for determining whether a person is disabled. Bowen v.  
20 Yuckert, 482 U.S. 137, 140 (1987); 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1520, 416.920.

21 In step one, the Commissioner determines whether the claimant  
22 has engaged in any substantial gainful activity. 20 C.F.R. §§  
23 404.1520(b), 416.920(b). If not, the Commissioner goes to step two,  
24 to determine whether the claimant has a "medically severe  
25 impairment or combination of impairments." Yuckert, 482 U.S. at  
26 140-41; 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1520(c), 416.920(c). That determination is

1 governed by the "severity regulation," which provides:

2       If you do not have any impairment or combination of  
3       impairments which significantly limits your physical or  
4       mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find  
5       that you do not have a severe impairment and are,  
6       therefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age,  
7       education, and work experience.

8 §§ 404.1520(c), 416.920(c). If the claimant does not have a severe  
9       impairment or combination of impairments, the disability claim is  
10      denied. If the impairment is severe, the evaluation proceeds to the  
11      third step. Yuckert, 482 U.S. at 141.

12       In step three, the Commissioner determines whether the  
13      impairment meets or equals "one of a number of listed impairments  
14      that the [Commissioner] acknowledges are so severe as to preclude  
15      substantial gainful activity." Yuckert, 482 U.S. at 140-41. If a  
16      claimant's impairment meets or equals one of the listed  
17      impairments, he is considered disabled without consideration of her  
18      age, education or work experience. 20 C.F.R. s 404.1520(d),  
19      416.920(d).

20       If the impairment is considered severe, but does not meet or  
21      equal a listed impairment, the Commissioner considers, at step  
22      four, whether the claimant can still perform "past relevant work."  
23      20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1520(e), 416.920(e). If the claimant can do so, he  
24      is not considered disabled. Yuckert, 482 U.S. at 141-42. If the  
25      claimant shows an inability to perform his past work, the burden  
26      shifts to the Commissioner to show, in step five, that the claimant  
27      has the residual functional capacity to do other available work in  
28      consideration of the claimant's age, education and past work

///

1 experience. Yuckert, 482 U.S. at 141-42; 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1520(f),  
2 416.920(f).

### 3 **Discussion**

4 Mr. Gunkel asserts that the Commissioner erred in three  
5 respects: failing to develop the record with respect to his  
6 physical impairments, failing to give credence to his own  
7 testimony, and failing to include all of his impairments in the  
8 hypothetical to the VE.

#### 9 1. Failure to develop the record of physical impairments

10 Mr. Gunkel asserts that the ALJ erred because he failed in his  
11 duty to develop the record by seeking clarification of Mr. Gunkel's  
12 physical impairments, either from his treating physician or from a  
13 consultative examiner. He contends that the ALJ failed to consider  
14 the impact of his headaches and light sensitivity and the severity  
15 of his IBS.

16 The ALJ's duty to fully and fairly develop the record is  
17 triggered "only when there is ambiguous evidence or when the record  
18 is inadequate to allow for proper evaluation of the evidence."  
19 Mayes v. Massanari, 262 F.3d 963, 968 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001), *amended*, 276  
20 F.3d 453 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2002), citing Tonapetyan v. Halter, 242 F.3d  
21 1144, 1150 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001). The duty to develop the record does not  
22 extend to a silent record that does not support disability.  
23 Armstrong v. Commissioner, 160 F.3d 587, 589 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998).

24 The record is silent with respect to objective medical  
25 evidence that supports the existence of a medically determinable  
26 condition causing Mr. Gunkel severe headaches or photophobia, so  
27

1 that a duty on the part of the ALJ to develop the record further is  
2 not triggered. Mr. Gunkel has denied head trauma. Mr. Gunkel  
3 reported to Dr. Gallagher in April 2002 that he had seen a  
4 neurologist for the headaches and had normal MRI and CT scans. A CT  
5 of the brain in August 2004 was normal. A neurological examination  
6 by Dr. Gallagher in September 2005 was normal. Dr. Tibbitts  
7 observed that Mr. Gunkel had a natural facial expression throughout  
8 the psychological evaluation and maintained normal eye contact. The  
9 medical record establishes that Mr. Gunkel has been tried on  
10 various headache medications, including Imitrex, Neurontin, Maxalt  
11 and ibuprofen, apparently without effect. Although the medical  
12 records do show some complaints about headaches caused by stress,  
13 there are also entries indicating that the headaches improved once  
14 Mr. Gunkel left school and learned to manage his stress better. The  
15 medical records give no indication that Mr. Gunkel is required to  
16 spend most of his day, every day, in a darkened room because of  
17 headaches.

18 The evidence with respect to Mr. Gunkel's IBS is not ambiguous  
19 or inadequate. The ALJ found that Mr. Gunkel's IBS was a severe  
20 impairment, and he asked the VE to consider an individual who  
21 required ready access to a bathroom. The medical evidence does not  
22 suggest a condition with a greater vocational impact than found by  
23 the ALJ. Dr. Gallagher noted that Mr. Gunkel had had "quite an  
24 extensive workup" for stomach pain that showed normal abdomen,  
25 pelvis and gallbladder except for fatty infiltration of the liver.  
26 A colonoscopy in November 2002 was normal except for mild to

1 moderate internal hemorrhoids. In December 2002, Dr. Gallagher  
2 wrote that five biopsies showed no pathological abnormalities in  
3 the sigmoid colon. Rectal biopsy showed only mild edema and  
4 congestion, negative for inflammatory bowel disease.

5 In the absence of ambiguity or inadequacy in the evidence, I  
6 find no error in the ALJ's failure to call Dr. Gallagher for  
7 additional testimony or to elicit testimony from a medical  
8 consultant on these alleged impairments.

9 2. Erroneous credibility findings

10 Mr. Gunkel asserts that the ALJ should have given more  
11 credence to Mr. Gunkel's statements about headaches and IBS. He  
12 argues that Dr. Gallagher's opinions corroborate Mr. Gunkel's  
13 testimony that his symptoms prevent him from performing activities  
14 of daily living and work activities on a sustained basis.

15 The ALJ is entitled to make a credibility assessment of  
16 claimant's testimony. Polny v. Bowen, 864 F.2d 661 (9th Cir. 1988).

17 Mr. Gunkel's assertion that Dr. Gallagher has confirmed that  
18 he is unable to work because of his physical symptoms is not  
19 supported by the record. According to the letter from Mr. Gunkel's  
20 attorney summarizing her telephone conversation with Dr. Gallagher,  
21 Dr. Gallagher did not believe Mr. Gunkel's alleged headaches, neck  
22 pain, light sensitivity, asthma, difficulty hearing, left arm  
23 weakness, and difficulty sleeping "should prevent him from  
24 working." Tr. 198. The letter also states that Dr. Gallagher  
25 thought Mr. Gunkel had "few limitations physically to perform work,  
26 other than the limitations of his Irritable Bowel Syndrome

1 requiring ready [and] unrestricted access to a restroom." Id.

2 \_\_\_\_\_As discussed, the medical evidence does not support the  
3 existence of a condition that would cause Mr. Gunkel severe daily  
4 headaches and photophobia as alleged. With respect to the IBS, Dr.  
5 Gallagher's recommendation was that Mr. Gunkel have ready and  
6 unrestricted access to a restroom, which is the same occupational  
7 requirement the ALJ included in his hypothetical to the VE.

8 I find no error in the ALJ's conclusion that Mr. Gunkel's  
9 testimony was not entirely credible because it was not supported by  
10 the medical evidence.

11 \_\_\_\_\_3. Improper use of vocational expert's testimony

12 Mr. Gunkel contends that when posing his hypothetical to the  
13 VE, the ALJ failed to consider Mr. Gunkel's need for a darkened  
14 work space or the length of time Mr. Gunkel would need to spend in  
15 the bathroom.

16 If the VE's hypothetical does not reflect all of a disability  
17 claimant's limitations, the testimony has no evidentiary value to  
18 support a finding that claimant can perform jobs in national  
19 economy. Matthews v. Shalala, 10 F.3d 678 (9th Cir. 1993); Embrey  
20 v. Bowen, 849 F.2d 418 (9th Cir. 1988).

21 On the other hand, the ALJ must propose a hypothetical that is  
22 based on medical assumptions supported by substantial evidence in  
23 the record that reflects each of the claimant's limitations.  
24 Osenbrock v. Apfel, 240 F.3d 1157, 1163 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001); Roberts v.  
25 Shalala, 66 F.3d 179, 184 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995). An ALJ is free to accept  
26 or reject restrictions in a hypothetical question that are not  
27



1 supported by substantial evidence. Osenbrock, 240 F.3d at 1165. If  
2 the claimant fails to present evidence that he suffers from certain  
3 limitations, the ALJ need not include those alleged impairments in  
4 the hypothetical question to the VE. Osenbrock, 240 F.3d at 1164.

5 There is no substantial evidence in the record that supports  
6 Mr. Gunkel's contention that he requires a darkened work space. The  
7 objective clinical evidence does not support his claims of  
8 photophobia, and by his own testimony, he reads and watches  
9 television for several hours a day and also hangs up laundry, takes  
10 out the garbage, and takes his dog outside. See tr. 215-16, 217,  
11 218.

12 Nor does substantial evidence in the record support Mr.  
13 Gunkel's contention that he requires employment that permits him to  
14 spend several hours a day in the bathroom. Dr. Gallagher's opinion  
15 was merely that because of his IBS, Mr. Gunkel needed ready and  
16 unrestricted access to a bathroom, a limitation that the ALJ  
17 included in his hypothetical to the VE.

#### 18 **Conclusion**

19 I recommend that the Commissioner's decision be affirmed.

#### 20 **Scheduling Order**

21 The above Findings and Recommendation will be referred to a  
22 United States District Judge for review. Objections, if any, are  
23 due December 17, 2007, 2007. If no objections are filed, review of  
24 the Findings and Recommendation will go under advisement on that  
25 date. If objections are filed, a response to the objections is due  
26 December 31, 2007, and the review of the Findings and  
27

1 Recommendation will go under advisement with the District Judge on  
2 that date.

3 Dated this 30<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2007.

4  
5 /s/ Dennis James Hubel

6 Dennis James Hubel  
7 United States Magistrate Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27